

No. IITH/40/2020/RTI/Admin

Dated: 27.04.2021

To,

Sub: Disposal of appeal filed under RTI Act, 2005.

Ref: 1.Your RTI application with Reg. No.IITHY/R/E/21/00086,dated.21.02.2021.

2.Your appeal No. IITHY/A/E/21/00018, Dt.31.03.2021

Dear Sir/ Madam,

I have gone through your appeal with registration No. IITHY/A/E/21/00018 dt.31.03.2021 and the reply furnished by the CPIO with reference to your RTI application cited above. Having examined the matter in detail, I sum up my observations as follows.

4	Information Sought	Information given and details of documents, if any, furnished.
	1. PI provide extract of copies of action taken on my email complaints to Director dated 16 Oct 19 and 12 Dec 20 of mis use of office, manpower by cso so aniruthan causing pecuniary loss to institute or state.	Preliminary enquiry did not find any merit in the complaints.
	2. PI provide extract copy of action taken on ot money embezzlement by cso in collusion with guard Sathaiah as complained by email to Director on 16 Oct 19 and other iith authorities.	Preliminary enquiry did not find any merit in the complaints.
	3.As per labour acts, rules weekly off to workers compulsory. Engaging only 8 hrs per day or 48 h per week duties allowed. If engaged for extra duties, Over Time wage should be paid. Provide extract of attendance register, monthly attendance sheets, payment Sheets for jan, feb Apr 2018, May, Sep 19, Jun, jul Nov 20, Jan 21.	1) Attendance Register is maintained by the Security Agency and not IITH. Hence, record not available. Information cannot be provided under the section RTI 8 (1)(e) as there is no larger public interest involved

	<p>4. Allocation of duties used to be done by guards supervisors till 2016 at Agency cost on honorarium basis. Later, it is done at State cost by two guards, causing huge pecuniary loss to state. where is honorarium money paid by agency going? PI provide extract of duties posts of guards Sathaiah, EG Regi, D Goud from 2017 to Jan2021 with duties and Over Time duties and payments made on monthly basis.</p>	<p>Attendance Register is maintained by the Security Agency and not IITH. Hence, record not available.</p> <p>Information cannot be provided under the section RTI 8 (1)(e) as there is no larger public interest involved</p>
	<p>5. Provide extract of duties posts of Guards Ranganayakulu, ASRao, Kakarla Venkateshwarlu, Cooks Govind and others from Feb2016 to Sep 2019 with their duties, OTs and Payments made, month wise.</p>	<p>Attendance Register is maintained by the Security Agency and not IITH. Hence, record not available.</p> <p>Information cannot be provided under the section RTI 8 (1)(e) as there is no larger public interest involved</p>

Grounds of the appeal:

Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information

Appeal Text:

Quoted rule is not applicable in this case. All the guards are not paid their entitled ot. DGR published wage rules say double payment to be made. It is following DGR payment system as per tender. But guards are paid basic on ots, exploited. Kindly provide 1) my OT days details of my tenure. Payment sheet of iit indicate these days. Attendance sheet signed by cso and agency indicate this. 2) provide other documents asked to prove cso misuse. If cso is not at fault, freely give this data. CPIO to provide the information at the earliest.

Disposal of Appeal:

1. It is observed that CPIO has already furnished the eligible information. The remaining information could not be disclosed under section 8(1)(e) of RTI Act 2005, as the same will not serve any larger public interest. Accordingly, the public authority upholds the decision of the CPIO and does not find any scope for further intervention in this matter.

2. Further, this is to inform you that the RTI is meant to furnish Information and it is not a grievance redressal mechanism that can settle disputes. Therefore, it is expected from the applicant that he/she should only seek information as it has been defined under the RTI Act and not ask for solutions of problems, disputes, reasons for someone's appointment etc.

3. Accordingly, your appeal cited above stands disposed of.

4. Appeal against the decision of the Appellate Authority lies with the Central Information Commission, Room No.326, 'C' Wing, II Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, NEW DELHI 110 066.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Manohar Nambiar', written over a horizontal line.

Cmde. Manohar Nambiar (Retd), Ph.D.
Registrar & Appellate Authority,
e-mail: registrar@iith.ac.in
040 2301 6055